

SOME REMARKS ON THE CONVERGENCE OF SOLUTIONS TO ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS UNDER WEAK HYPOTHESES ON THE DATA

GIANNI DAL MASO AND DAVIDE DONATI

ABSTRACT. We study the asymptotic behavior of solutions to elliptic equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A_k \nabla u_k) = f_k & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_k = w_k & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded open set, w_k is weakly converging in $H^1(\Omega)$, f_k is weakly converging in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$, and A_k is a sequence square matrices satisfying some uniform ellipticity and boundedness conditions, and H -converging in Ω . In particular, we characterize the weak limits of the solutions u_k and of their momenta $A_k \nabla u_k$. When A_k is symmetric and $w_k = w = 0$, we characterize the limits of the energies for the solutions.

Keywords: Elliptic equations, G -convergence, H -convergence, Γ -convergence.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J20, 49J45.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the asymptotic behavior, as k tends to $+\infty$, of the solutions u_k to elliptic problems of the form

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A_k \nabla u_k) = f_k & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_k = w_k & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded open set, A_k are $n \times n$ matrices of $L^\infty(\Omega)$ functions satisfying the usual ellipticity and boundedness conditions, uniformly with respect to k , f_k are elements of the dual $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ of the Sobolev space $H_0^1(\Omega)$, while w_k is a sequence of elements of $H^1(\Omega)$ weakly converging to w in $H^1(\Omega)$, and the equality $u_k = w_k$ is intended in the usual sense $u_k - w_k \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

These problems have been extensively studied in the 70s. Since in many applications the coefficients of the matrices A_k are strongly oscillating and the weak convergence is not useful for these problems, two new notions of convergence for the coefficients were introduced: G -convergence for the symmetric case (see [7, 14, 15]) and H -convergence for the general case (see [10, 11]). These notions have been widely used in homogenization problems, where, in the periodic case, the limit matrix can be obtained by solving some auxiliary problems in the periodicity cell (see [1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 12, 13]).

The main result concerning H -convergence is the following (see [11, Theorem 2]): if A_k H -converges to A in Ω and f_k strongly converges to f in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} u_k &\rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(\Omega), \\ A_k \nabla u_k &\rightharpoonup A \nabla u \quad \text{weakly in } [L^2(\Omega)]^n, \end{aligned}$$

where u is the solution to the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u) = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = w & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The novelty of our paper is that we assume only that f_k converges to f weakly in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$. We prove (see Theorem 3.2) that, up to a subsequence, there exist $g \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and $\psi \in [L^2(\Omega)]^n$ such that for every open set $U \subset \Omega$ and every sequence $w_k \in H^1(U)$ weakly converging in $H^1(U)$ to some function w we have that the solutions v_k of the problems

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla v_k) = f_k & \text{in } U, \\ v_k = w_k & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

satisfy the following two conditions

$$\begin{aligned} v_k &\rightharpoonup v \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(U), \\ A_k \nabla v_k &\rightharpoonup A\nabla v + \psi \quad \text{weakly in } [L^2(U)]^n, \end{aligned}$$

where v is the solution to the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla v) = g & \text{in } U, \\ v = w & \text{on } \partial U. \end{cases}$$

We note that both g and ψ depend on the sequences A_k and f_k , but not on w_k nor on U . When A_k does not converge pointwise and f_k does not converge strongly, it is easy to construct simple examples, even in dimension one, showing that we might have $g \neq f$ and $\psi \neq 0$.

We first study the problems in Ω when $w = 0$. Since the functions u_k are bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, we extract a non-reabeled subsequence converging weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ to some function u , and we define $g = -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u)$. Since the vector functions $A_k \nabla u_k$ are bounded in $[L^2(\Omega)]^n$, we extract a non-reabeled subsequence such that $A_k \nabla u_k$ converges weakly in $[L^2(\Omega)]^n$ to a limit, that we express as $A\nabla u + \psi$. To prove that g and ψ do not depend on w_k nor on U we use known results of the theory of H -convergence.

If the matrices A_k are symmetric and $w = 0$, then for every open set $U \subset \Omega$ the solutions u_k^U , u^U to the problems

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A_k \nabla u_k^U) = f_k & \text{in } U, \\ u_k^U \in H_0^1(U), \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A_k \nabla u^U) = g & \text{in } U, \\ u^U \in H_0^1(U), \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

can be characterized as the minimizers of the functionals defined for every $v \in H_0^1(U)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} F_k(v, U) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_U A_k \nabla v \cdot \nabla v dx - \langle f_k, \tilde{v} \rangle, \\ F_0(v, U) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_U A \nabla v \cdot \nabla v dx - \langle g, \tilde{v} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{v} is the extension to Ω of v obtained by setting $\tilde{v} = 0$ outside U .

Therefore, in the symmetric case we can obtain some useful information on the behavior of the sequence u_k^U using Γ -convergence techniques, for which we refer to [5] and [3]. Although in general the sequence $F_k(\cdot, U)$ does not Γ -converge to $F_0(\cdot, U)$, we can prove the following result (see Theorem 4.2): there exist a subsequence, non-reabeled, and a bounded non-negative Radon measure ν on Ω such that

$$F_k(\cdot, U) \quad \Gamma\text{-converges to} \quad F_0(\cdot, U) - \nu(U) \quad \text{in the weak topology of } H_0^1(U), \quad (1.3)$$

for ‘‘almost every’’ open set $U \subset \Omega$ in the sense of Definition 4.1. This implies that the energies $F_k(u_k^U, U)$ converge to $F_0(u^U, U) - \nu(U)$, hence $\nu(U)$ can be interpreted as the asymptotic energy gap between u^U and the sequence u_k^U . Simple examples, even in dimension

one, show that if A_k does not converge pointwise to A and f_k does not converge strongly to f , then the measure ν might be non-trivial.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Throughout the paper Ω will always denote an open bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 1$. The space $H^1(\Omega)$ is the space of $L^2(\Omega)$ functions whose first order distributional derivatives are in $L^2(\Omega)$. The space $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ is the dual space of $H_0^1(\Omega)$, the closure in $H^1(\Omega)$ of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. We will endow $H_0^1(\Omega)$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which by Poincaré inequality is equivalent on $H_0^1(\Omega)$ to the usual norm of $H^1(\Omega)$. We denote the duality pairing between $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and $H_0^1(\Omega)$ with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. If $U \subset \Omega$ is an open set, $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$, and $u \in H_0^1(U)$ we denote by $\langle f, u \rangle$ the real number $\langle f, \tilde{u} \rangle$, where \tilde{u} is the extension to zero of u outside of U .

From now on α, β will be two positive constants satisfying $0 < \alpha \leq \beta < +\infty$. We denote by $\mathcal{M}_\alpha^\beta(\Omega)$ the space of matrices $A \in [L^\infty(\Omega)]^{n \times n}$ such that

$$\alpha|\xi|^2 \leq A(x)\xi \cdot \xi, \quad |A(x)\xi|^2 \leq \beta A(x)\xi \cdot \xi, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and every } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (2.1)$$

For every $n \times n$ matrix B the operatorial norm $|B|$ is defined as

$$|B| = \sup\{|B\xi| : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\xi| \leq 1\}.$$

Notice that the second inequality in (2.1) implies

$$|A(x)| \leq \beta \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega. \quad (2.2)$$

We endow the space $\mathcal{M}_\alpha^\beta(\Omega)$ with the H -convergence, introduced by Murat and Tartar in the 70s (see for instance [11]).

Definition 2.1 (H -convergence). Let $A_k, A \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha^\beta(\Omega)$. The sequence A_k is said to H -converge to A in Ω if and only if for every $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ the solutions u_k, u to the problems

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A_k \nabla u_k) = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_k \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u) = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) (*convergence of solutions*) $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$;
- (2) (*convergence of momenta*) $A_k \nabla u_k \rightharpoonup A \nabla u$ weakly in $[L^2(\Omega)]^n$.

On the subspace of $\mathcal{M}_\alpha^\beta(\Omega)$ of symmetric matrices Spagnolo introduced in 1968 (see [15]) the similar notion of G -convergence.

Definition 2.2. Let $A_k, A \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha^\beta(\Omega)$ be symmetric. The sequence A_k is said to G -converge to A in Ω if and only if for every $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ condition (1) of Definition 2.1 is satisfied.

It turns out that for matrices that are symmetric the notion of H -convergence and of G -convergence are equivalent. For a proof of this result, we refer the reader to [7] and to [4, Proposition 13.6].

Proposition 2.3. *Let $A_k, A \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha^\beta(\Omega)$ be symmetric. Then A_k G -converges to A in Ω if and only if A_k H -converges to A in Ω .*

It is important to notice that $\mathcal{M}_\alpha^\beta(\Omega)$ is sequentially compact with respect to the H -convergence.

Theorem 2.4 ([11, Theorem 2]). *Let $A_k \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha^\beta(\Omega)$. Then there exists an $A \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ and a subsequence A_{h_k} H -converging to A in Ω . In particular, if A_k is symmetric, A is symmetric as well.*

Given $A_k, A \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha^\beta(\Omega)$ symmetric and $U \subset \Omega$ open, we denote by $Q_k(\cdot, U), Q(\cdot, U)$ the functionals defined for every $v \in H_0^1(U)$ as

$$Q_k(v, U) = \frac{1}{2} \int_U A_k \nabla v \cdot \nabla v dx, \quad (2.3)$$

$$Q(v, U) = \frac{1}{2} \int_U A \nabla v \cdot \nabla v dx. \quad (2.4)$$

The H -convergence of a sequence of symmetric matrices can be characterized in terms of the Γ -convergence of the associated quadratic functionals (2.3). For the main properties of the Γ -convergence, we refer the reader to [3, 5].

Theorem 2.5 ([5, Theorem 24.5]). *Let $A_k, A \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha^\beta(\Omega)$ be symmetric. Then A_k H -converges to A in Ω if and only if $Q_k(\cdot, \Omega)$ Γ -converges to $Q(\cdot, \Omega)$ in the weak topology of $H_0^1(\Omega)$.*

3. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS TO ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS

Let $A_k \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha^\beta(\Omega)$ be H -convergent to $A \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha^\beta(\Omega)$ in Ω and let $f_k \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ be convergent to f weakly in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$. It is easy to see that in general the sequence of solutions u_k to

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A_k \nabla u_k) = f_k & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_k \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

does not converge to u^f the solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u^f) = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u^f \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

From now on, u_k will always denote the sequence of solutions to (3.1). Since by Lax-Milgram Theorem the $H_0^1(\Omega)$ norm of u_k is bounded by the $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ norm of f_k and since A_k satisfies (2.2), there exists a suitable non-relabeled subsequence such that u_k converges weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $A_k \nabla u_k$ converges weakly in $[L^2(\Omega)]^n$. Without loss of generality, we will always suppose that for a certain function $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$u_k \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega), \quad (3.2)$$

$$A_k \nabla u_k \rightharpoonup A \nabla u + \psi \quad \text{weakly in } [L^2(\Omega)]^n, \quad (3.3)$$

where ψ is a $[L^2(\Omega)]^n$ vector field. We now introduce the linear functional $g \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ defined as

$$g = -\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u). \quad (3.4)$$

It is obvious that u is the solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u) = g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

The term g and the vector field ψ , which depend on the sequences A_k, f_k and on the open set Ω , can be used to study the asymptotic behavior of solutions to elliptic problems on open subsets $U \subset \Omega$, with possibly non-zero boundary conditions. To prove these results we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 ([11, Theorem 1]). *Let $A_k \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha^\beta(\Omega)$ be H -converging to A in Ω and let $U \subset \Omega$ be an open subset. Suppose that the following conditions are all satisfied:*

- (1) $v_k \in H^1(U)$,
- (2) $f_k \in H^{-1}(U)$,
- (3) $-\operatorname{div}(A_k \nabla v_k) = f_k$ in U ,
- (4) v_k converges to v weakly in $H^1(U)$,
- (5) f_k converges to f strongly $H^{-1}(U)$.

Then $A_k \nabla v_k$ converges to $A \nabla v$ weakly in $[L^2(U)]^n$ and $A_k \nabla v_k \cdot \nabla v_k$ converges to $A \nabla v \cdot \nabla v$ in the sense of distributions on U .

We are now ready to study the problems on an open subset of Ω .

Theorem 3.2. *Let $w_k, w \in H^1(U)$ and let v_k be the solution to the non-homogeneous boundary value problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A_k \nabla v_k) = f_k & \text{in } U, \\ v_k - w_k \in H_0^1(U). \end{cases}$$

Assume that w_k converges to w weakly in $H^1(U)$. Then v_k converges weakly in $H^1(U)$ to the solution v to the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A \nabla v) = g & \text{in } U, \\ v - w \in H_0^1(U), \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

and $A_k \nabla v_k$ converges to $A \nabla v + \psi$ weakly in $[L^2(U)]^n$.

Proof. From the uniform ellipticity of the matrices A_k and from the boundedness of the two sequences $\|w_k\|_{H^1(U)}$ and $\|f_k\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}$, we obtain that the sequence v_k is bounded in $H^1(U)$. Therefore passing to a non-relabeled subsequence we may assume that v_k converges weakly in $H^1(U)$ to a function v^* , with $v^* - w \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, and $A_k \nabla v_k$ converges weakly in $[L^2(U)]^n$ to a vector function Φ .

We want to prove that v^* and Φ are independent of the chosen subsequence and that $v^* = v$ and $\Phi = A \nabla v + \psi$. Consider the sequence $z_k = v_k - u_k \in H^1(U)$, which converges to $z = v^* - u$ weakly in $H^1(U)$.

Since

$$-\operatorname{div}(A_k \nabla z_k) = 0 \quad \text{in } U,$$

Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$-\operatorname{div}(A \nabla (v^* - u)) = -\operatorname{div}(A \nabla z) = 0 \quad \text{in } U,$$

and in addition that $A_k \nabla z_k$ converges to $A \nabla z$ weakly in $[L^2(U)]^n$. Since $v^* - w \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, recalling the definition of g (see (3.4)), we obtain that v^* is a solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A \nabla v^*) = g & \text{in } U, \\ v^* - w \in H_0^1(U). \end{cases}$$

By uniqueness of the solution to (3.6), we have proved that $v^* = v$. Since the limit does not depend on the chosen subsequence, the whole sequence v_k converges to v weakly in $H^1(U)$.

Since

$$A_k \nabla v_k - A_k \nabla u_k = A_k \nabla z_k \rightharpoonup A \nabla z = A \nabla v - A \nabla u \quad \text{weakly in } [L^2(U)]^n,$$

(3.3) implies that

$$A \nabla v_k \rightharpoonup A \nabla v + \psi \quad \text{weakly in } [L^2(U)]^n,$$

hence $\Phi = A \nabla v + \psi$ and the whole sequence $A_k \nabla v_k$ converges to $A \nabla v + \psi$ weakly in $[L^2(U)]^n$. \square

4. THE SYMMETRIC CASE

Suppose now that the sequence $A_k \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha^\beta(\Omega)$ and its H -limit A are symmetric and that $U \subset \Omega$ is an open subset. From now on, u_k^U will denote the sequence of solutions to the elliptic problems

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A_k \nabla u_k^U) = f_k & \text{in } U, \\ u_k^U \in H_0^1(U). \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

We will denote by u^U the weak limit in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ of u_k^U (which exists by Theorem 3.2), and by g the linear term defined in (3.4). Under the additional hypothesis of symmetry, Theorem

2.5 and Theorem 3.2 allow us to compute the Γ -limit $F(\cdot, U)$ in the weak topology of $H_0^1(U)$ of the functionals $F_k(\cdot, U)$ defined for $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ as

$$F_k(v, U) = \frac{1}{2} \int_U A_k \nabla v \cdot \nabla v dx - \langle f_k, v \rangle = Q_k(v, U) - \langle g, v \rangle, \quad (4.2)$$

where $Q_k(\cdot, U)$ is the quadratic functional defined by (2.3).

As we will see, the Γ -limit $F(\cdot, U)$ is closely related to the functional $F_0(\cdot, U)$, defined for $v \in H_0^1(U)$ as

$$F_0(v, U) = \frac{1}{2} \int_U A \nabla v \cdot \nabla v dx - \langle g, v \rangle = Q(v, U) - \langle g, v \rangle, \quad (4.3)$$

where $Q(\cdot, U)$ is the quadratic functional defined by (2.4).

Before stating the main result of this section, we briefly recall a definition in set theory (see [5, Definition 14.10]).

Definition 4.1. Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. We say that a collection \mathcal{R} of open subsets of V is rich if for every family $(U_t)_{t \in (0,1)}$ of open subsets of V satisfying the property that if $t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1)$ and $t_1 < t_2$ then $U_{t_1} \subset \subset U_{t_2}$, the set $\{t \in (0, 1) : U_t \notin \mathcal{R}\}$ is at most countable.

Theorem 4.2. Let $A_k \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha^\beta(\Omega)$ be a sequence of symmetric matrices H -converging to $A \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha^\beta(\Omega)$ in Ω . Consider the sequence of functionals $F_k(\cdot, U)$ defined by (4.2) for $U \subset \Omega$ open. Then there exist a non-relabeled subsequence, a non-negative bounded Radon measure ν on Ω , and a rich collection \mathcal{R} of open subsets of Ω such that for every $U \in \mathcal{R}$ the sequence $F_k(\cdot, U)$ Γ -converges in the weak topology of $H_0^1(U)$ to the functional defined as

$$F(v, U) = F_0(v, U) - \nu(U) \quad \text{for every } v \in H_0^1(U). \quad (4.4)$$

To prove Theorem 4.2 we proceed in several steps. The first one is to compute the Γ -liminf and the Γ -limsup of $F_k(\cdot, U)$. To deal with this problem, we introduce two set functions ν', ν'' defined for every open set $U \subset \Omega$ by

$$-\nu'(U) = \inf_{\substack{v_k \in H_0^1(U) \\ v_k \rightarrow 0}} \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F_k(v_k, U), \quad (4.5)$$

$$-\nu''(U) = \inf_{\substack{v_k \in H_0^1(U) \\ v_k \rightarrow 0}} \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F_k(v_k, U). \quad (4.6)$$

It is easy to see that the infimum is attained. It is immediate to check that the set functions ν' and ν'' are both increasing and bounded, and that $0 \leq \nu'' \leq \nu'$.

Notice that, being the functionals $F_k(\cdot, U)$ equi-coercive in the weak topology of $H_0^1(U)$, the Γ -convergence of the sequence $F_k(\cdot, U)$ can be characterized sequentially (see for instance [5, Theorem 8.17]), so that $-\nu'(U)$ and $-\nu''(U)$ are precisely the value of the Γ -liminf and of the Γ -limsup of $F_k(\cdot, U)$ at $v = 0$. In what follows the Γ -liminf and the Γ -limsup of $F_k(\cdot, U)$ in the weak topology of $H_0^1(U)$ will always be denoted by $F'(\cdot, U)$ and by $F''(\cdot, U)$ and, when they coincide, the Γ -limit will be denoted by $F(\cdot, U)$.

Proposition 4.3. Let $U \subset \Omega$ be open and let $F_k(\cdot, U)$ be the functionals defined in (4.2). Then:

- (1) For every $v \in H_0^1(U)$

$$F'(v, U) = F_0(v, U) - \nu'(U); \quad (4.7)$$

- (2) For every $v \in H_0^1(U)$

$$F''(v, U) = F_0(v, U) - \nu''(U). \quad (4.8)$$

Proof. We prove only (4.7), the proof of (4.8) being analogous. Let $Q_k(\cdot, U)$ and $Q(\cdot, U)$ the quadratic functionals defined in (2.3) and (2.4). We introduce the non-negative constants $\alpha_k, \alpha', \alpha''$, and α defined as

$$\alpha_k = Q_k(u_k^U, U), \quad \alpha' = \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha_k, \quad \alpha'' = \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha_k, \quad \alpha = Q(u^U, U).$$

Since u_k^U is the solution to (4.1) it follows that

$$F_k(v, U) + \alpha_k = Q_k(v - u_k^U, U) \quad \text{for every } v \in H_0^1(U). \quad (4.9)$$

Fix $v \in H_0^1(U)$. Theorem 2.5 then implies that $Q_k(\cdot, U)$ Γ -converges to $Q(\cdot, U)$ in the weak topology of $H_0^1(U)$, so that if $v_k \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $H_0^1(U)$ the liminf inequality and (4.9) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F_k(v_k, U) + \alpha'' &\geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (F_k(v_k, U) + \alpha_k) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} Q_k(v_k - u_k^U, U) \\ &\geq Q(v - u^U, U) = F_0(v, U) + \alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

whence, taking the infimum with respect to all the sequences v_k converging to v weakly in $H_0^1(U)$,

$$F'(v, U) \geq F_0(v, U) + \alpha - \alpha''. \quad (4.11)$$

To prove the converse inequality, we argue as follows. Fix $v \in H_0^1(U)$ and consider a sequence $z_k \in H_0^1(U)$ converging to $v - u^U$ weakly in $H_0^1(U)$, so that $v_k = z_k + u_k^U$ converges weakly to v . Then (4.9) yields

$$F'(v, U) + \alpha'' \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (F_k(v_k, U) + \alpha_k) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} Q_k(z_k, U).$$

Since this last inequality holds for any $z_k \rightharpoonup z$ weakly in $H_0^1(U)$ and $Q_k(\cdot, U)$ Γ -converges to $Q(\cdot, U)$, we obtain that $F'(v, U) \leq Q(z, U) - \alpha''$. Hence (4.10) and (4.11) finally imply that

$$F'(v, U) = F_0(v, U) + \alpha - \alpha''.$$

Evaluating this last expression at $v = 0$, one gets $\nu'(U) = \alpha'' - \alpha$, concluding the proof. \square

Corollary 4.4. *The sequence $F_k(\cdot, U)$ Γ -converges in the weak topology of $H_0^1(U)$ if and only if $\nu'(U) = \nu''(U)$, and in that case the Γ -limit is given by*

$$F(v, U) = F_0(v, U) - \nu'(U) = F_0(v, U) - \nu''(U) \quad \text{for every } v \in H_0^1(U).$$

The second step of our analysis is to make sure that the set $\mathcal{U} := \{U \subset \Omega : U \text{ open, } \nu'(U) = \nu''(U)\}$ is rich and to construct the measure ν appearing in (4.4). To this aim, we fix a countable dense collection \mathcal{D} of open subsets of Ω (i.e., we assume that for all open sets U, W such that $U \subset\subset W \subset \Omega$ there exists $V \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $U \subset\subset V \subset\subset W$). By a diagonal argument and by the compactness of Γ -convergence (see [5, Corollary 8.12]), we can pass to a non-reabeled subsequence such that $F_k(\cdot, U)$ Γ -converges in the weak topology of $H_0^1(U)$ for every $U \in \mathcal{D}$. Therefore from now on, we will always suppose that \mathcal{U} itself is dense.

Finally, we denote by ν the common inner regular envelope of ν' and ν'' , i.e., the increasing set function defined for $U \subset \Omega$ open by

$$\nu(U) = \sup\{\nu'(V) : V \text{ open, } V \subset\subset U\} = \sup\{\nu''(V) : V \text{ open, } V \subset\subset U\}.$$

Remark 4.5. Since ν' and ν'' are increasing and the set \mathcal{U} is dense, by [5, Proposition 14.14] \mathcal{U} is actually rich.

We now prove that ν extends to a Borel measure on Ω .

Proposition 4.6. *The set function ν is the restriction to the class of open subsets of Ω of a Borel measure μ .*

Proof. The proof is based on De Giorgi-Letta Theorem (see [6, Theorem 5.6] for the original result and see [5, Theorem 14.23] for the particular statement used in this proof). Since ν is clearly increasing and inner regular, we only need to prove that it is subadditive and superadditive to conclude that it extends to a Borel measure on Ω .

To prove that ν is superadditive it is enough to show that ν'' is superadditive (see [5, Proposition 14.18]). Let $V, W \subset \Omega$ be open sets with $V \cap W = \emptyset$. Consider two sequences $v_k \in H_0^1(V)$ and $w_k \in H_0^1(W)$ such that $v_k \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $H_0^1(V)$, $w_k \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $H_0^1(W)$, and

$$-\nu''(V) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F_k(v_k, V), \quad -\nu''(W) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F_k(w_k, W).$$

Let $z_k \in H_0^1(V \cup W)$ be equal to v_k on V and to w_k on W . Then

$$-\nu''(V \cup W) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_k(z_k, V \cup W) \leq -\nu''(V) - \nu''(W),$$

which concludes the proof of superadditivity.

Arguing as in the proof of [5, Proposition 18.4], we see that, the subadditivity of ν follows from the following property: if $V', V, W \subset \Omega$ are open sets with $V' \subset \subset V \subset \Omega$, then

$$\nu'(V' \cup W) \leq \nu'(V) + \nu'(W). \quad (4.12)$$

To prove this inequality we argue as follows. Fix a cut off function φ between V' and V (i.e., $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset V$, $\varphi = 1$ in a neighborhood of $\overline{V'}$, and $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ in Ω) and consider a sequence $z_k \in H_0^1(V' \cup W)$ such that $z_k \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $H_0^1(V' \cup W)$ and

$$-\nu'(V' \cup W) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F_k(z_k, V' \cup W).$$

We define $v_k = \varphi z_k$ and $w_k = (1 - \varphi)z_k$, and we observe that $v_k \in H_0^1(V)$, $w_k \in H_0^1(W)$, that $v_k \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $H_0^1(V)$ and $w_k \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $H_0^1(W)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} -\nu'(V) - \nu'(W) &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F_k(v_k, V) + \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F_k(w_k, W) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (F_k(v_k, V) \\ &\quad + F_k(w_k, W)) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (Q_k(v_k, V) + Q_k(w_k, W) - \langle f_k, z_k \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

We note that, since $\varphi^2 \leq \varphi$, we have

$$Q_k(v_k, V) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_V \varphi A_k \nabla z_k \cdot \nabla z_k dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_V z_k^2 A_k \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \varphi dx + \int_V \varphi z_k A_k \nabla z_k \cdot \nabla \varphi dx,$$

and a similar inequality holds for $Q_k(w_k, W)$, with V and φ replaced by W and $1 - \varphi$. Since the sequence z_k converges to zero strongly in $L^2(V' \cup W)$ by Rellich Compactness Theorem, we have

$$Q_k(v_k, V) + Q_k(w_k, W) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{V' \cup W} A_k \nabla z_k \cdot \nabla z_k dx + \varepsilon_k,$$

with $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ as k tends to $+\infty$. Therefore (4.13) implies

$$-\nu'(V) - \nu'(W) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F_k(z_k, V' \cup W) = -\nu'(V' \cup W).$$

This proves (4.12) and concludes the proof of the theorem. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.2. The result follows from Corollary 4.4, Remark 4.5, and Proposition 4.6. \square

The following proposition shows that there may exist an open set $U_0 \subset \Omega$ such that ν' and ν'' are not regular at U_0 , hence $\nu(U_0) < \nu''(U_0) < \nu'(U_0)$. As a consequence of this, Corollary 4.4 implies that (4.4) can not hold for $U = U_0$.

Proposition 4.7. *Assume $n \geq 2$ and that $A_k = A = I$, where I is the identity matrix. Let $U_0 \subset\subset \Omega$ be open and $x_0 \in \partial U_0$. Then there exists a sequence $f_k \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ converging to zero weakly in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and such that if ν', ν'' are the set functions defined by (4.5) and (4.6) then*

- (1) $\nu'(U) = \nu''(U) = 0$ for every $U \subset \Omega$ open such that $x_0 \notin \bar{U}$;
- (2) $\nu''(U_0) > 0$.

Proof. We begin by constructing an auxiliary sequence of functions g_k supported on balls centered at 0. The sequence f_k will be obtained as a suitable translation of these g_k . Fix $0 < R < \text{dist}(U_0, \Omega^c)$ and two sequences $0 < r_k < R_k < R$ monotonically converging to 0. Consider the sequence $g_k = c_k \chi_{B(0, r_k)}$, where $\chi_{B(0, r_k)}$ is the characteristic function of $B(0, r_k)$, the open ball of center 0 and radius r_k , and $c_k = 8 \|\chi_{B(0, r_k)}\|_{H^{-1}(B(0, R))}^{-1}$. Since $\|g_k\|_{H^{-1}(B(0, R))} = 8$, there exists a non-reabeled subsequence converging weakly in $H^{-1}(B(0, R))$ to some g_0 . Since $r_k \rightarrow 0$, if $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B(0, R))$ vanishes in a neighborhood of 0 we have that $\langle g_0, \varphi \rangle = 0$. On the other hand, every function in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ can be approximated strongly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ by a sequence of functions in $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ vanishing near 0, so that by the previous remark we conclude that $\langle g_0, v \rangle = 0$ for every $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, hence $g_0 = 0$. Since this result is not dependent on the subsequence, we conclude that the whole sequence g_k converges to 0 weakly in $H^{-1}(B(0, R))$.

Since $\|g_k\|_{H^{-1}(B(0, R))} = 8$, for every k there exists $\zeta_k \in H_0^1(B(0, R))$ with $\|\zeta_k\|_{H_0^1(B(0, R))} \leq 1$ such that

$$\int_{B(0, R)} g_k \zeta_k dx \geq 8 - \frac{1}{k}.$$

Passing to a non-reabeled subsequence we might assume that ζ_k converges to ζ_0 weakly in $H_0^1(B(0, R))$, with $\|\zeta_0\|_{H_0^1(B(0, R))} \leq 1$. Let $v_k = \zeta_k - \zeta_0$. Then $\|v_k\|_{H_0^1(B(0, R))} \leq 2$ and

$$\int_{B(0, R)} g_k v_k \geq 8 - \varepsilon_k, \quad (4.14)$$

where $\varepsilon_k = \frac{1}{k} - \langle g_k, \zeta_0 \rangle$. Notice that ε_k converges to zero since g_k converges to 0 weakly in $H^{-1}(B(0, R))$.

Consider a sequence $\varphi_k \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ with $\text{supp}(\varphi_k) \subset B(0, R_k)$, $\varphi_k = 1$ on $B(0, r_k)$, $0 \leq \varphi_k \leq 1$ on $B(0, R)$, and such that $|\nabla \varphi_k| \leq \frac{2}{R_k - r_k}$. Consider the sequence $w_k = \varphi_k v_k$, which obviously still satisfies (4.14). We claim that there exists a choice of r_k and R_k such that w_k converges to 0 weakly in $H_0^1(B(0, R))$. To prove this, it is enough to show that $\|w_k\|_{H_0^1(B(0, R))}$ is bounded, since by Rellich compactness Theorem and dominated convergence Theorem the sequence w_k converges to 0 strongly in $L^2(B(0, R))$. By direct computations

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0, R)} |\nabla w_k|^2 dx &= \int_{B(0, R)} (|\nabla v_k|^2 \varphi_k^2 + |\nabla \varphi_k|^2 v_k^2 + 2v_k \varphi_k \nabla \varphi_k \cdot \nabla v_k) dx \\ &\leq 2 + \frac{4}{(R_k - r_k)^2} \int_{B(0, R)} v_k^2 + \frac{4}{R_k - r_k} \left(\int_{B(0, R)} v_k^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B(0, R)} |\nabla v_k|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2 + \frac{4}{R_k - r_k} \left(\int_{B(0, R)} v_k^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{R_k - r_k} \left(\int_{B(0, R)} v_k^2 dx \right)^{1/2} + 2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that v_k converges weakly to 0 in $H_0^1(B(0, R))$ and hence strongly in $L^2(B(0, R))$ by Rellich Compactness Theorem, we can choose R_k, r_k so that $\frac{1}{R_k - r_k} \left(\int_{B(0, R)} v_k^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \leq 1$. It follows that $\|w_k\|_{H_0^1(B(0, R))}^2 \leq 14$, concluding the proof of the fact that w_k converges to 0 weakly in $H_0^1(B(0, R))$.

Fix now a sequence $x_k \in U_0$ converging to x_0 and such that $B(x_k, R_k) \subset U_0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough. We set $f_k(x) = g_k(x - x_k)$ and $z_k(x) = w_k(x - x_k)$. Clearly, f_k converges to 0 weakly in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and z_k converges to 0 weakly in $H_0^1(U_0)$. Since w_k coincides with v_k on $B(0, r_k)$ and v_k satisfies (4.14), it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} f_k z_k dx \geq 8 - \varepsilon_k.$$

Since $\|w_k\|_{H_0^1(B(0, R))} \leq 14$, the previous inequality gives

$$F_k(z_k, U_0) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{U_0} |\nabla z_k|^2 dx - \int_{U_0} f_k z_k dx \leq 7 - 8 + \varepsilon_k.$$

Recalling the definition of ν'' , we obtain

$$-\nu''(U_0) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F_k(z_k, U_0) \leq -1.$$

This concludes the proof of (2). Condition (1) is trivially satisfied and the proof of the proposition is thus concluded. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This paper is based on work supported by the National Research Project (PRIN 2017BTM7SN) "Variational Methods for Stationary and Evolution Problems with Singularities and Interfaces", funded by the Italian Ministry of University and Research. The first author is member of the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM).

REFERENCES

REFERENCES

- [1] Bahvalov N.S.: *Averaged characteristics of bodies with periodic structure* Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **218** (1974), 1046-1048.
- [2] Bensoussan A., Lions J.L., Papanicolaou G.: *Asymptotic analysis for periodic structures*. Studies in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 5, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1978.
- [3] Braides A.: *Γ -convergence for beginners*. Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 22, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.
- [4] Cioranescu D., Donato P.: *An introduction to homogenization*. Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 17, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1999.
- [5] Dal Maso G.: *An introduction to Γ -convergence*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, vol. 8, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, 1993.
- [6] De Giorgi E., Letta G.: *Une notion générale de convergence faible pour des fonctions croissantes d'ensemble*. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.(4) **4** (1977), 61-99.
- [7] De Giorgi E., Spagnolo S.: *Sulla convergenza degli integrali dell'energia per operatori ellittici del secondo ordine*. Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (4) **8** (1973), 391-411.
- [8] Jikov V.V., Kozlov S.M., Oleinik, O.A.: *Homogenization of differential operators and integral functionals*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [9] Marchenko V.A, Khruslov E.Y.: *Homogenization of partial differential equations*. Progress in Mathematical Physics, vol. 46, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, 2006.
- [10] Murat F.: *H-convergence*. Rapport du séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle et numérique de l'Université d'Alger, 1978.
- [11] Murat F., Tartar L.: *H-convergence*. In: Topics in the mathematical modelling of composite materials, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., vol. 31, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, 1997, pp. 21-43.
- [12] Oleinik O.A., Shamaev A.S., Yosifian G.A.: *Mathematical problems in elasticity and homogenization*. Studies in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 26, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1992.
- [13] Nicaise S., Sändig A.-M.: *G-convergence and homogenization of nonlinear partial differential operators* Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 422, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1994.
- [14] Spagnolo S.: *Convergence in energy for elliptic operators*. Numerical solution of partial differential equations, III (Proc. Third Sympos. (SYNSPADE), Univ. Maryland, College Park, Md., 1975). Academic Press, New York, 1976, pp. 469-498.
- [15] Spagnolo S.: *Sulla convergenza di soluzioni di equazioni paraboliche ed ellittiche*. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) **22** (1968), 571-599.

(Gianni Dal Maso) SISSA, VIA BONOMEA 265, 34136 TRIESTE, ITALY
Email address, Gianni Dal Maso: dalmaso@sissa.it

(Davide Donati) SISSA, VIA BONOMEA 265, 34146 TRIESTE, ITALY
Email address, Davide Donati: ddonati@sissa.it